

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

DARLAN ZACHARIA, Individually and on  
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

STRAIGHT PATH COMMUNICATIONS,  
INC., DAVIDI JONAS, and JONATHAN  
RAND,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-08051-JMV-MF

Honorable John Michael Vazquez

~~PROPOSED~~ <sup>CJM</sup> JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

WHEREAS, a consolidated class action is pending in this Court entitled *Zacharia v. Straight Path Commc'ns, Inc. et al.*, No. 2:15-cv-08051-JMV-MF (the "Action");

WHEREAS, (a) Lead Plaintiff Charles Frischer, on behalf of himself and the Settlement Class (defined below), and (b) Straight Path Communications, Inc. ("Straight Path"), Davidi Jonas ("Jonas"), and Jonathan Rand ("Rand") (collectively, "Defendants"; and, together with Lead Plaintiff, the "Parties") have entered into an Amended Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated December 1, 2017 (the "Amended Stipulation"), that provides for a complete dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action on the terms and conditions set forth in the Amended Stipulation, subject to the approval of this Court (the "Settlement");

WHEREAS, unless otherwise defined in this Judgment, the capitalized terms herein shall have the same meaning as they have in the Amended Stipulation;

WHEREAS, by Order dated February 2, 2018 (the "Preliminary Approval Order"),<sup>\*</sup> this Court: (a) preliminarily approved the Settlement; (b) certified the Settlement Class solely for

<sup>\*</sup> As Amended by Order on 9/5/2018, D.E. 87, to permit Substitution of the escrow agent.

purposes of effectuating the Settlement; (c) ordered that notice of the proposed Settlement be provided to potential Settlement Class Members; (d) provided Settlement Class Members with the opportunity either to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class or to object to the proposed Settlement; and (e) scheduled a hearing regarding final approval of the Settlement;

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice has been given to the Settlement Class;

WHEREAS, the Court conducted a hearing on September 7, 2018 (the “Settlement Hearing”) to consider, among other things, (a) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Class, and should therefore be approved; and (b) whether a judgment should be entered dismissing the Action with prejudice as against the Defendants; and

WHEREAS, the Court having reviewed and considered the Amended Stipulation, all papers filed and proceedings held herein in connection with the Settlement, all oral and written comments received regarding the Settlement, and the record in the Action, and good cause appearing therefore;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. **Jurisdiction** – The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over all of the Parties and each of the Settlement Class Members.

2. **Incorporation of Settlement Documents** – This Judgment incorporates and makes a part hereof: (a) the Amended Stipulation filed with the Court on December 5, 2017; and (b) the Notice and the Summary Notice, both of which were filed with the Court on December 5, 2017.

3. **Class Certification for Settlement Purposes** – The Court hereby affirms its

determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order certifying, for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Action as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the Settlement Class consisting of all persons and entities who or which purchased or otherwise acquired Straight Path common stock from August 1, 2013 to July 22, 2016, inclusive (the “Settlement Class Period”) and were damaged thereby. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants, the officers and directors of Straight Path at all relevant times, members of their Immediate Families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest. Also excluded are IDT Corporation and the Jonas family trust known as the Patrick Henry Trust. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are the persons and entities listed in Exhibit 1 hereto who or which excluded themselves by submitting a request for exclusion.

4. **Adequacy of Representation** – Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order certifying Lead Plaintiff as Class Representative for the Settlement Class and appointing Lead Counsel as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class. Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class both in terms of litigating the Action and for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement and have satisfied the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4) and 23(g), respectively.

5. **Notice** – The Court finds that the dissemination of the Notice and the publication of the Summary Notice: (a) were implemented in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order; (b) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (c) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of

(i) the pendency of the Action; (ii) the effect of the proposed Settlement (including the Releases to be provided thereunder); (iii) Lead Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses; (iv) their right to object to any aspect of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation and/or Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses; (v) their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class; and (vi) their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing; (d) constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (e) satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable law and rules.

6. **Final Settlement Approval and Dismissal of Claims** – Pursuant to, and in accordance with, Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby fully and finally approves the Settlement set forth in the Amended Stipulation in all respects (including, without limitation: the amount of the Settlement; the Releases provided for therein; and the dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action), and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Class. The Parties are directed to implement, perform and consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions contained in the Amended Stipulation.

7. The Action and all of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action by Lead Plaintiff and the other Settlement Class Members are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The Parties shall bear their own costs and expenses, except as otherwise expressly provided in the Amended Stipulation.

8. **Binding Effect** – The terms of the Amended Stipulation and of this Judgment

shall be forever binding on Defendants, Lead Plaintiff and all other Settlement Class Members (regardless of whether or not any individual Settlement Class Member submits a Claim Form or seeks or obtains a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund), as well as their respective successors and assigns.

9. **Releases** – The Releases set forth in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Amended Stipulation, together with the definitions contained in paragraph 1 of the Amended Stipulation relating thereto, are expressly incorporated herein in all respects. The Releases are effective as of the Effective Date. Accordingly, this Court orders that:

(a) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 10 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Lead Plaintiff and each of the other Settlement Class Members, on behalf of their present and former, direct and indirect, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, unincorporated entities, divisions, groups, officers, directors, shareholders, partners, partnerships, joint ventures, employees, agents, servants, assignees, successors, insurers, indemnitees, attorneys, transferees, and/or representatives, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived and discharged each and every Released Plaintiffs' Claim against the Defendants and the other Defendants' Releasees, and shall forever be enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims against any of the Defendants' Releasees. This Release shall not apply to any of the Excluded Claims (as that term is defined in paragraph 1(r) of the Amended Stipulation).

(b) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 10 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Defendants and each of their present and former, direct and indirect, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, unincorporated entities, divisions, groups,

officers, directors, shareholders, partners, partnerships, joint ventures, employees, agents, servants, assignees, successors, insurers, indemnitees, attorneys, transferees, and/or representatives shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived and discharged each and every Released Defendants' Claim against Lead Plaintiff and the other Plaintiffs' Releasees, and shall forever be enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Released Defendants' Claims against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees.

10. Notwithstanding paragraphs 9(a) – (b) above, nothing in this Judgment shall bar any action by any of the Parties to enforce or effectuate the terms of the Amended Stipulation or this Judgment.

11. **Rule 11 Findings** – The Court finds and concludes that the Parties and their respective counsel have complied in all respects with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in connection with the institution, prosecution, defense, and settlement of the Action.

12. **No Admissions** – Neither this Judgment, the Term Sheet, the Amended Stipulation (whether or not consummated), including the exhibits thereto and the Plan of Allocation contained therein (or any other plan of allocation that may be approved by the Court), the negotiations leading to the execution of the Term Sheet and the Amended Stipulation, nor any proceedings taken pursuant to or in connection with the Term Sheet, the Amended Stipulation and/or approval of the Settlement (including any arguments proffered in connection therewith):

(a) shall be offered against any of the Defendants' Releasees as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any

of the Defendants' Releasees with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by Lead Plaintiff or the validity of any claim that was or could have been asserted or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in this Action or in any other litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or other wrongdoing of any kind of any of the Defendants' Releasees or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Defendants' Releasees, in any civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Amended Stipulation;

(b) shall be offered against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees, as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession or admission by any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees that any of their claims are without merit, that any of the Defendants' Releasees had meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable under the Complaint would not have exceeded the Settlement Amount or with respect to any liability, negligence, fault or wrongdoing of any kind, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees, in any civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Amended Stipulation; or

(c) shall be construed against any of the Releasees as an admission, concession, or presumption that the consideration to be given under the Settlement represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial; provided, however, that the Parties and the Releasees and their respective counsel may refer to this Judgment and the Amended Stipulation to effectuate the protections from liability granted hereunder and thereunder or otherwise to enforce the terms of the Settlement.

13. **Retention of Jurisdiction** – Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any



way, this Court retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the Parties for purposes of the administration, interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement; (b) the disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) any motion for an award of attorneys' fees and/or Litigation Expenses by Lead Counsel in the Action that will be paid from the Settlement Fund; (d) any motion to approve the Plan of Allocation; (e) any motion to approve the Class Distribution Order; and (f) the Settlement Class Members for all matters relating to the Action.

14. Separate orders shall be entered regarding approval of a plan of allocation and the motion of Lead Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses. Such orders shall in no way affect or delay the finality of this Judgment and shall not affect or delay the Effective Date of the Settlement.

15. **Modification of the Agreement of Settlement** – Without further approval from the Court, Lead Plaintiff and Defendants are hereby authorized to agree to and adopt such amendments or modifications of the Amended Stipulation or any exhibits attached thereto to effectuate the Settlement that: (a) are not materially inconsistent with this Judgment; and (b) do not materially limit the rights of Settlement Class Members in connection with the Settlement. Without further order of the Court, Lead Plaintiff and Defendants may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any provisions of the Settlement.

16. **Termination of Settlement** – If the Settlement is terminated as provided in the Amended Stipulation or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Judgment shall be vacated, rendered null and void and be of no further force and effect, except as otherwise provided by the Amended Stipulation, and this Judgment shall be without prejudice to the rights of Lead Plaintiff, the other Settlement Class Members and Defendants, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions in the Action as of March 7, 2017, as provided in the



Amended Stipulation.

17. **Entry of Final Judgment** – There is no just reason to delay the entry of this Judgment as a final judgment in this Action. Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed to immediately enter this final judgment in this Action.

SO ORDERED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2018.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable John Michael Vazquez  
United States District Judge

**Exhibit 1**

**List of Persons and Entities Excluded from the Settlement Class Pursuant to Request**

1. Ronald Mavity  
Maryville, MO
2. Alan Saporta  
Palm Beach Gardens, FL
3. Kurt Hollinger and Dale Hollinger  
Aspen, CO
4. David N. Hale on behalf of the David N. Hale, Elizabeth Hale Love & The Harriet G. Hale  
Irrevocable Trust  
San Francisco, CA
5. David N. Hale on behalf of Peter Obstler  
Petaluma, CA
6. Giora Hahn  
Basalt, CO
7. Randy Rogers  
Fort Worth, TX
8. Kyle Kight and Sarah Kight  
Keller, TX
9. John L. Bennis on behalf of the John L. Bennis Living Revocable Trust  
Newport Beach, CA
10. Pamela Jo Rosenau on behalf of Pamela Jo Rosenau Charles Schwab & Co Inc Cust IRA  
Rollover  
Aspen, CO
11. Pamela Jo Rosenau on behalf of Pamela Jo Rosenau Trust U/A Dtd 10/04/05  
Aspen, CO
12. Marilyn Lowey on behalf of Marilyn Lowey Trust U/A DTD 6/11/14  
Aspen, CO
13. Andrew Marlow  
LaSelle, TX
14. Dorothea Bent  
Basalt, CO
15. Martha Balderson  
Aspen, CO
16. John Oudt & Lesa Oudt  
Dallas, TX

17. Thomas F Lenk Jr.  
Aspen, CO
18. Kyle Oudt  
Dallas, TX
19. Stephen R. Stewart  
Dripping Springs, TX
20. K. Peter & Julia A Ritter  
Larkspur, CA
21. Philippa Whitcomb ttee Philippa Whitcomb Revocable Trust DTD 4/3/2001  
Carbondale, CO
22. Randal Oudt  
Austin, TX
23. Harold Obstler  
Hamden, CT
24. Dylan Balderson  
Carbondale, CO
25. Walter Clarke  
Dallas, TX
26. Thomas Lenk  
Manasquan, NJ
27. John D. Oudt  
Dallas, TX
28. Andrew V. Hecht  
Aspen, CO
29. Sharlene Louie  
Mineola, NY
30. Roger Hennefeld  
Basalt, CO
31. Christopher LaCroix  
Basalt, CO
32. Ronal Garfield  
Aspen, CO
33. Timothy LaCroix  
Aspen, CO
34. Samantha Hecht  
Brooklyn, NY

- 35. Nikos Hecht  
Aspen, CO
- 36. Stacy L Stanek  
Aspen, CO
- 37. John Fox  
Aspen, CO
- 38. Oliver Whitcomb  
Hailey, ID
- 39. Robert Belcher  
Dallas, TX
- 40. Klaus Obermeyer  
Aspen, CO
- 41. Alexis Tarumianz  
Aspen, CO